

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKETAGE	
09/675,902	09/28/2000	Barrie Gilbert	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 1482-129	CONFIRMATION NO. 8966
	90 05/17/2004		EXAM	INER
Joseph S. Makuch Marger Johnson & McCollom, P.C.			NGUYEN, TUNG X	
1030 SW Morri	son Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Portland, OR	9/205	*	2829	
•		÷ +	DATE MAIL ED. 05/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	00/675 000	
Office a superior	09/675,902	GILBERT, BARRIE
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Tung X Nguyen	2829
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
i chou for Keply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	IONTH(S) FROM
I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	IN.	
Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication		
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be at	confused thin the etatestale and interest of the	ty (30) days will be considered timely.
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	alling date of this communication, even if	timely filed, may reduce any
Status		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
4\⊠ B		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>all</u>		
	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the ments is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11. 453 O.G. 213
		*
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 2-31 is/are pending in the application	on.	, F
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		
5)⊠ .Claim(s) <u>6-9, 13-18,21 and 24</u> is/are allowed		
6) Claim(s) 2-5,10-12,19,20,22,23 and 25-31		187 (\$**) -
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5, 10-12, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25-31</u> i 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28</u> is/are objected to	s/are rejected.	
6) Claim(s) <u>2-5, 10-12, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25-31</u> i	s/are rejected.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5,10-12,19,20,22,23 and 25-31</u> i 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	s/are rejected.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5,10-12,19,20,22,23 and 25-31</u> i 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28</u> is/are objected to	s/are rejected.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5,10-12,19,20,22,23 and 25-31</u> i 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) 2-5,10-12,19,20,22,23 and 25-31 i 7)⊠ Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Exam	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner.	ected to by the Evaminer
6) Claim(s) 2-5, 10-12, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25-31 i 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj	ected to by the Examiner.
6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5, 10-12, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25-31 i 7) ☐ Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objuite drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
6)	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. a: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objuite drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5, 10-12, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25-31 i 7) ☐ Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. a: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objuite drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6) Claim(s) 2-5, 10-12, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25-31 i 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. a: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objuite drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6) Claim(s) 2-5,10-12,19,20,22,23 and 25-31 i 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objuine drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing(Examiner. Note the attached	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152.
6) Claim(s) 2-5,10-12,19,20,22,23 and 25-31 i 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objuine drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing(Examiner. Note the attached	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152.
6) Claim(s) 2-5,10-12,19,20,22,23 and 25-31 i 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objuice drawing(s) be held in abeyant ection is required if the drawing(Examiner. Note the attached gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152.
6) Claim(s) 2-5,10-12,19,20,22,23 and 25-31 i 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objuice drawing(s) be held in abeyant ection is required if the drawing(Examiner. Note the attached gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152.
6) Claim(s) 2-5,10-12,19,20,22,23 and 25-31 i 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. a accepted or b) objusted in abeyant of the drawing(s) be held in abeyant ection is required if the drawing(Examiner. Note the attached of the priority under 35 U.S.C. §	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f).
6) Claim(s) 2-5, 10-12, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25-31 i 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. a)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f).
6) Claim(s) 2-5, 10-12, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25-31 i 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. e: a) \(\sum \) accepted or b) \(\sum \) objuite drawing(s) be held in abeyant ection is required if the drawing(Examiner. Note the attached graph priority under 35 U.S.C. § ants have been received in Apriority documents have been in Aprior	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f).
6) Claim(s) 2-5, 10-12, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25-31 i 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. a accepted or b) objusted or by objusted or	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage
6) Claim(s) 2-5, 10-12, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25-31 i 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. a accepted or b) objusted or by objusted or	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage
6) Claim(s) 2-5,10-12,19,20,22,23 and 25-31 i 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. a accepted or b) objusted or by objusted or	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage
6) Claim(s) 2-5.10-12.19.20.22.23 and 25-31 i 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. a accepted or b) objusted or by objusted or	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage
6) Claim(s) 2-5,10-12,19,20,22,23 and 25-31 i 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. a accepted or b) objusted or by objusted or	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage
6) Claim(s) 2-5, 10-12, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25-31 i 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. e: a) \sum accepted or b) \sum objusted in abeyan ection is required if the drawing(Examiner. Note the attached gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been in accepted	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage received.
6) Claim(s) 2-5, 10-12, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25-31 i 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a li Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. accepted or b) objusted in abeyane drawing(s) be held in abeyanection is required if the drawing(Examiner. Note the attached priority under 35 U.S.C. § ants have been received in Apriority documents have been received in Apriority documents have been at (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). st of the certified copies not repair (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage received.
6) Claim(s) 2-5, 10-12, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25-31 i 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a li Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	s/are rejected. d/or election requirement. iner. e: a) \sum accepted or b) \sum objusted objusted in abeyan ection is required if the drawing (Examiner. Note the attached gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § ents have been received in Apriority documents have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). st of the certified copies not repair (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152. 119(a)-(d) or (f). pplication No received in this National Stage received.

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 2-5, 22-23, 25-27, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamashita et al. (u.s.p 4,906,836).

As to claims 2-5, 22-23, 25-27, 30 Yamashita et al. disclose in Fig. 1, a measurement system comprising: a first log amp (LOG1) having a current output (inherent); as second log amp (LOG2) having a current output (inherent); and coupling to a differencing circuit (AMP1), wherein the differencing circuit is arranged to continuously process output from the first (LOG1) and second (LOG2) log amps; and the differencing circuit (AMP1) having a summing node (at the negative node and the positive node of AMP1, for example the feed back loop R2 and R1 meet at the negative node).

According to Applicant's remark on page 7 filed on 02/20/04, Logarithmic Amplifiers are progressive compression log amps. Therefore, the log amps (log1 & log2 of figure 1) of Yamashita et al. are progressive compression log amps.

As to claim 29, Yamashita et al. disclose in Fig. 1, the adding limitation of the Log Amp have current-mode outputs be considered as the difference voltage signals between the negative node and positive node of the amplifier (AMP1).

Art Unit: 2829

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 10-12,19-20, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamashita et al. (u.s.p 4,906,836).

As to claims 10-12, 19-20, 31, Yamashita et al. disclose in Fig. 1, the system comprising: a first log amp (LOG 1), and the second log amp (LOG 2) arranging symmetrically about a center line (see Examiner's label on Fig. 1); and wherein the first and second log amps are co-integrated on a substrate in a package. For example, (see the abstract) mentions that "An integrated circuit includes an operational amplifier...the output of the second logarithmic amplifier is connected to the inverting input terminal of the operational amplifier", thus obviously suggesting the first and second log amps are co-integrated on the semiconductor. In regard to claimed "co-integrated on the substrate" it is note that the reference is silent such feature; However, It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention that any conventional semiconductor structure could have an co-integrated on the substrate implemented as an inherent design variations, as also well-known in the existing semiconductor technology.

6. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamashita et al. (u.s.p 4,906,836), in view of Belcher (u.s.p 5,789,927).

Art Unit: 2829

As to claim 28, Yamashita et al. disclose in Fig. 1 all limitations except for the power amplifier having an input coupled to an input of the first log amp and an output coupled to an input of the second log amp. However, Belcher disclose in Fig. 3, the power amplifier (10) having an input coupled to the first hard limiter (21) being considered as the first Log amp, and the output coupled to the second hard limiter (31) being considered as the second Log amp for measuring the error between two signals of the input and output of the power amplifier (10). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Yamashita et al., and provide the power amplifier (10), as taught by Belcher for measuring the error between two signals of the input and output of the power amplifier (10).

7. The method is considered inherent in the structure.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 6-9, 13-18, 21, and 24 are allowed.

Claim 28 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

9. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As to claims 6-9, 16-18, 21, and 24 the prior art does not disclose the phase detector core coupled to the first and second log amps.

As to claim 13, the prior art does not disclose the first and second parasitic network coupled to the first and second log amps.

Art Unit: 2829

As to claims 14-15, the prior art does not disclose the one or more log amps coupled to the input of the differencing circuit.

As to claim 28, the prior art does not disclose the power amplifier having an input coupled to an input of the first log amp and an output coupled to an input of the second log amp.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 02/20/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive following the reasons:

As to claims 2, 10, 19, 20, 22, 30, 31, in re page 7, Applicant argues that Yamashita only discloses log amps, Yamashita does not disclose the Log amps are progressive compression log amps.

In response, as to claims 2, 2, 10, 19, 20, 22, 30, 31 according to Applicant's remark on page 7 filed on 02/20/04, Logarithmic Amplifiers are progressive compression log amps. Therefore, the log amps (log1 & log2 of figure 1) of Yamashita et al. are progressive compression log amps.

As to claim 4, in re pages 7-8, Applicant argues that Yamashita's differencing circuit does not have a summing node.

Art Unit: 2829

In response, as to claim 4, Yamashita et al.'s differencing circuit (AMP1 of figure 1) clearly disclose the summing node (at the negative/positive node of figure 1; e.g. The current flowing in the R1, and feedback's current flowing R2 and these current flow at negative node; however, the current flowing into R3, and the current flowing into R4 flow at the positive node).

As to claim 11, applicant argues that the log amps are not arranged symmetrically about a center line, because Yamashita does not disclose the physical configuration of the log amps on the substrate. Applicant states that figure 1 is merely a schematic of the circuit and not an actual layout; therefore, examiner's label in figure 1 is irrelevant.

In response, as to claim 11, the examiner points out that the claim says, "arranged symmetrically about a center line." The claim does not state what the center line is. Therefore, irrespective of how the log amps are arranged on the substrate, there is always some center line from which each log amp is equidistant (that is, is symmetric about). Examiner was merely showing one example of how such a line could be defined by showing the label in figure 1. Namely, if the center line is defined as the line in the middle of the two log amps, then, the log amps will necessarily be equidistance from it.

Furthermore, since applicant has not defined the type of symmetry, any two log amps located on a substrate would always be symmetric about the line in the middle of both of them.

Art Unit: 2829

As to claim 25, in re page 8, Applicant argues that Yamashita does not disclose the use of a reference signal as an input to either of LOG1 and LOG2.

In response, as to claim 25, Yamashita et al. clearly show in Figs. 1-4, the LOG2 using the reference node (at positive node of LOG2 of figure 1 connects to ground).

Therefore, Yamashita et al. disclose the using of a reference signal at positive node of LOG2 (figure 1).

As to claim 26, in re page 8, Applicant argues that Yamashita does not identify any input signals that have the same waveform.

In response, as to claim 26, Yamashita et al. show in Fig. 2, the input signals input into the LOG/R and LOG/G with the same input signal at positive nodes of LOG/R and LOG/G. Therefore, Yamashita et al. disclose the inputs that have the same waveform.

As to claims 27, 29, in re page 8, Applicant argues that Yamashita does not disclose utilizing a modulated signal for the first and second signals, and the log amps have current-mode outputs.

In response, as to claims 27, 29, the examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant about the issue for the following reasons: Any signals input to the Log amps (Log 1, and Log 2) producing the signals with the formular $e1 = V_T Ln(I_{f1}/I_{s1})$, and $e2 = V_T Ln(I_{f2}/I_{s2})$, so the signals will vary the amplitude depended on I_{f1} , I_{f2} and the diodes D1, D2 (see col. 1, lines 25-40). Therefore, Yamashita et al. disclose utilizing a modulated signal for the first and second signals, and the log amps have current-mode output (see col. 1, lines 25-40).

Art Unit: 2829

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung X Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1967. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2829

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TN 5/06/04

David Jarnelse

Primary Exar 5/10/04